## NOURSE & BOWLES, LLP

One Exchange Plaza at 55 Broadway New York, NY 10006-3030 Telephone: (212) 952-6200

Facsimile: (212) 952-0345 E-Mail: reception@nb-ny.com Web site: www.nb-ny.com

Sender's Direct Dial: (212) 952-6213 E-mail: lbowles@nb-ny.com

**Nourse & Bowles** 

75 Main Street, Suite 205 Millburn, NJ 07041-1322

Telephone: (973) 258-9811 Facsimile: (973) 258-1480

I have reviewed this leller and he letter four Mr. Kellman to which it responds and see no February 24, 2005

By Hand and Via E-Mail

Hon. Frank Maas

Nourse & Bowles, LLP

115 Mason Street

Greenwich, CT 06830-6630

Telephone: (203) 869-7887

Facsimile: (203) 869-4535

United States Magistrate Judge

Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse

500 Pearl Street, Room 740

New York, New York 10007-1312

**American Steamship Owners Mutual** Re:

Protection and Indemnity Association, Inc.

v. Alcoa Steamship Co. et al. – 04 CV 4309 (LAK) (EVI) ED: 3/8/05

**USDC SDNY** DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #:

Dear Judge Maas:

We refer to the letter from the Maritime Asbestosis Legal Clinic ("MALC") dated February 23, 2005 signed by Mr. Alan Kellman, seeking to exploit the courtesy Your Honor extended to him after Your Honor orally denied his intervention motion in January.

In our papers opposing MALC's motion to intervene, we pointed out that MALC's clients have no right of direct action against the American Club and otherwise how remote and contingent the interests of MALC's clients in this matter were. In the interim, those interests have become far more remote and contingent, as the Bankruptcy Judge in the Prudential Lines case, Judge Arthur J. Gonzalez, on January 19, 2005, issued his Memorandum Decision and Order denying the PLI Disbursement Trustee's and MALC's Joint Motion to require pro ration of the American Club's set off right against the PLI Disbursement Trust. We enclose a copy of that decision for Your Honor's review. MALC has filed a Notice of Appeal to the District Court but, in our view, given the thoroughness of Judge Gonzalez' decision and the facts supporting it, MALC has no realistic chance of success on its appeal. Assuming MALC's appeal will be denied, that should finally terminate any possible interest in this action that MALC could have.

akellman@jaquesadmiralty.com

In the circumstances, we must question why MALC continues to claim any interest in this matter. In any event, given the number and quality of the attorneys representing the named defendants in this case (all of which parties, in actuality, are the real plaintiffs), it is difficult to see how MALC could possibly add anything of value to these proceedings. More likely, MALC is seeking to muddy the waters here to benefit itself in future or other cases in which it is suing shipowners. Accordingly, we ask that Your Honor deny MALC's latest request.

As to the status of this case, the parties are heavily engaged in discovery and reports will be submitted to Your Honor thereon in the near future.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

NOURSE & BOWLES, LLP

By:

Lawrence J. Bowles

LJB/jtc

Encl.

cc: Alan Kellman, Esq.

The Jaques Admiralty Law Firm, P.C.

All Counsel